UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,638	12/29/2005	Hisanori Ota	4670-0113PUS1	8741
	7590 05/28/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 374 22040 0747	MULCAHY, PETER D		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/562,638	OTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u>	ebruarv 2009.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· <u> </u>					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	<u> </u>					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
7 apor 110(0) mail bato						

Application/Control Number: 10/562,638 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kajiwara et al. US 2002/0111431.
- 3. The rejection set forth under 35 USC 103 in the paper mailed 8/8/08 is deemed proper and is herein repeated.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 2/6/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant's primary point of contention is that the claims require a high amount of monomer content at the initiation of the polymerization. This is contrasted to the cited art that suggests lesser amounts of monomer in the formation of the seed polymer. This is not persuasive.
- 6. The claims are directed to a copolymer latex. This is essentially a "product" claim, understanding the latex to be a product in-and-of itself. The limitations, relative to the amounts of monomers used in the initial stage of the polymerization, of the formation of the copolymer, used in the product copolymer latex, are process limitations. Patent law has well established that the evaluation of patentability of product claims is

Application/Control Number: 10/562,638

Art Unit: 1796

predicated upon the claimed product, not the process by which the product is formed. The claims are directed to a product being the "copolymer latex" and an intermediate product being the "copolymer" wherein the intermediate product is in product-by-process language. The product-by-process language relative to the intermediate product does not render the claims patentably distinct from the copolymer latex of the prior art. It is unclear how the claimed "copolymer latex" is patentably distinct from the copolymer latex described in the prior art.

Page 3

- 7. The fact the Kajiwara exemplifies lower amounts of seed monomers is not dispositive. The seed monomer content is not limited by the examples and one of ordinary skill understands the manipulation of seed monomer content and properties resultant therefrom. The amounts to a design choice wherein the polymerization is initiated with a majority of monomer to be polymerized followed by sequential polymerization of the resultant monomer. Such design choices are seen to be prima facie obvious. There is no showing or allegation of unexpected results due to this claimed copolymer.
- 8. Haigiwara et al US 2003/0050377 and US 6,844,385 are cited of interest.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/562,638 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter D. Mulcahy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Application/Control Number: 10/562,638

Page 5

Art Unit: 1796